

Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic 21 (2003) 63–66



www.elsevier.com/locate/molcatb

# The enantioselectivity of reduction of ethyl 4-halo-3-oxobutanoate catalyzed by *Geotrichum candidum* depends on the cofactor

## Eirik Sundby, Marta De Zotti, Thorleif Anthonsen∗

*Department of Chemistry, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway*

#### **Abstract**

Enantioselective reductions of ethyl 3-oxobutanoates with fermenting cells or acetone treated cells of *Geotrichum candidum* gave 3-hydroxyesters with different ee and different predominant configurations depending on reaction conditions. Ethyl 4-bromo-3-oxobutanoate was reduced with APG4 and NADH to give predominantly ethyl (*R*)-4-bromo-3 hydroxybutanoate while the (*S*)-configuration was predominant when NADPH was the cofactor. Moreover, when the catalyst was heated before the reaction, the ee was increased indicating that the enzyme giving the (*S*)-alcohol is more thermolabile than the other. © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords: Geotrichum candidum*; NADPH; Ethyl 3-oxobutanoates; Enantioselective reduction

## **1. Introduction**

The importance of enantiopure 3-hydroxybutanoates is well known. They are valuable synthons for a wide range of important bioactive molecules, such as carnitine [\[1,2\],](#page-2-0) 4-amino-3-hydroxybutanoic acid (GABOB), pyrrolidin-3-ol and 5-(chloromethyl)-1,3 oxazolidin-2-one [\[3\].](#page-2-0) The methods for their production include lipase-catalyzed resolutions [\[4\]](#page-2-0) and ammonolysis [\[3\],](#page-2-0) and asymmetric reduction of the corresponding 3-oxo-butanoates catalysed by BINAP [\[5,6\],](#page-2-0) binaphtylbiphosphine ruthenium complexes [\[7\]](#page-2-0) or microorganisms [\[8,9\].](#page-2-0)

Methyl ketones have been reduced by *Geotrichum candidum*, and the enantiomeric excesses are normally very high [\[10\].](#page-2-0)

## **2. Results and discussion**

#### *2.1. Synthesis of starting compound*

Ethyl 4-chloro-3-oxobutanoate (**2**) and ethyl 4-bromo-3-oxobutanoate (**3**) were obtained via the diketene route [\[4\]](#page-2-0) using either chlorine or bromine ([Scheme 1\)](#page-1-0). Only after carefully monitoring of the reaction temperature, a reasonable yield of **3** was obtained. Attempts to make **3** starting with either ethyl 3-butenoate or 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione were unsuccessful.

#### *2.2. Microbial enantioselective reduction*

The 3-oxobutanoates **1**–**3** were reduced with fermenting cells of *Geotrichum candidum* (IFO 4597); or cells that had been treated with ice-cold acetone (acetone powder, APG4). The reducing agents in the latter case were either NADH or NADPH which were regenerated *in situ* using cyclopentanol [\(Scheme 2\).](#page-1-0) Hydride attack from the *re*-face of **1**, gave predominance

Corresponding author. Tel.:  $+47-73596206$ ; fax: +47-73550877.

*E-mail address:* thorleif.anthonsen@chembio.ntnu.no (T. Anthonsen).

<sup>1381-1177/02/\$ –</sup> see front matter © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S1381-1177(02)00140-6

<span id="page-1-0"></span>



of the **A**-isomer, i.e. (*S*)-**4**. Hydride attack from the same face, with regards to the size of the substituents, also led to the **A**-isomer of **5**. However, this is now actually the *si*-face and the product is predominantly  $(R)$ -**5**. The stereoselectivity of the reduction of **3** depended on the catalyst and cofactor. Whole cell-reduction or APG4 with NADPH gave, by attack from the opposite side, predominance of **B** and (*S*)-**6**, while APG4 and NADH gave mostly  $\bf{A}$  and  $(R)$ -6.

The enantiomeric excesses of the produced halogenated alcohols **5** and **6** were not satisfactory (Table 1). Low stereoselectivity in biocatalytic

Table 1

Enantiomeric excess and configurations of predominant product (**4**–**6**) obtained in reduction of ethyl 3-oxobutanoates after 24 h and 30 $°C$ 

Substrate	Product	Fermenting cells	APG4 (NADH)	APG4 (NADPH)
1		63% (S) $\mathbf{A}$	99.5% (S) A	91% $(S)$ <b>A</b>
2	5	30% $(R)$ A	48% $(R)$ A	25% $(R)$ A
3	6	84% (S) <b>B</b>	84% $(R)$ A	54% $(S)$ <b>B</b>

Formula **A** represents the (*S*)-configuration for **4** while **B** is the (*S*)-configuration for **5** and **6**.



Fig. 1. Enantiomeric excesses in the reduction of **2** (full lines) and **3** (stippled lines) using APG4 with NADH as cofactor. The catalyst was pre-heated at different temperatures for 20 min.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>reductions is often caused by the presence of two or more enzymes with different stereoselectivity. Ways to overcome this problem have been reported, such as the addition of a selective inhibitor  $[11-13]$  and heat treatment of the biomass prior to reactions [\[14\].](#page-3-0) We therefore heated the acetone-dried cells at different temperatures for 20 min prior to the addition of substrates. The ee's were increased to an optimum of 78% for ethyl 4-chloro-3-oxobutanoate (**2**) and 99% for ethyl 4-bromo-3-oxobutanoate (**3**), before complete inactivation of the enzymes occurred ([Fig. 1\).](#page-1-0)

#### **3. Conclusion**

It is known that *Geotrichum* sp. contains several oxidoreductases with opposite stereochemical preference [\[15–17\].](#page-3-0) Our results indicate that one enzyme is more thermolabile than the other. Moreover, in the reduction of **3**, the predominant reduction product changed from (*R*)-configuration with NADH as cofactor to (*S*)-configuration when NADPH was used, indicating that the " $(R)$ -enzyme" is NADH-dependent while the "(S)-enzyme" depends on NADPH. Further investigations with pure enzymes are needed in order to elucidate the true origin of the observed effects.

### **4. Experimental**

#### *4.1. General*

*Geotrichum candidum* was cultivated under standard conditions, cells were filtered off and washed five times with ice-cold acetone to furnish a white powder (APG4) [10]. Both living cells and APG4 were used for enzymatic reductions [\[18\].](#page-3-0) The synthesized substrates had spectroscopic properties in agreement with structures **2** and **3**. Ethyl 3-oxobutanoate (**1**) was obtained from Fluka.

#### *4.2. Analyses*

Chiral analyses were performed using Varian 3300 and 3400 gas chromatographs equipped with CP-Chirasil-dex CB columns from Chrompack (25 m,

 $0.25$  mm,  $0.25$  or  $0.35 \mu m$  film density) or Chiraldex G-TA  $(10 \text{ m}, 0.25 \text{ mm}, 0.125 \mu \text{m} \text{ film density})$  from Astec (Whipany, NJ, USA).

#### *4.2.1. Chromatographic properties of alcohols 4–6*

 $R$ <sub>tS</sub> and  $R$ <sub>tR</sub> retention time of (*S*) and (*R*)-enantiomer respectively,  $R_s$  resolution.

- *Ethyl 3-hydroxybutanoate*(*4*): analyzed on CP-Chirasildex column as the acetate. Temp. prog. 83 (10 min)-180 °C 15°/min *R*<sub>tS</sub> 11.24 min, *R*<sub>tR</sub> 13.21 min *R*<sup>s</sup> 2.52.
- *Ethyl 4-chloro-3-hydroxybutanoate*(*5*): analyzed on CP-Chirasildex column as the acetate. Temp. prog. 83 (10 min)-180 ◦C, 15◦/min *R*tR 11.24 min, *R*tS 13.21 min *R*<sup>s</sup> 3.36.
- *Ethyl 4-bromo-3-hydroxybutanoate*(*6*): analyzed on Chiraldex G-TA column as the TFA-ester. Temp. prog. 90 (5 min)-150 °C, 15°/min *R*<sub>tS</sub> 2.66 min, *R*<sub>tR</sub> 2.96 min *R*<sup>s</sup> 2.94.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to The Research Council of Norway (NFR) for a fellowship.

#### **References**

- [1] M.O. Tinti, European Patent 0208662 B1 (1986).
- [2] C.J. Sih, US Patent 4,710,468 (1987).
- [3] E. GarciaUrdiales, F. Rebolledo, V. Gotor, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 10 (1999) 721.
- [4] B.H. Hoff, T. Anthonsen, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 10 (1999) 1401.
- [5] M. Kitamura, M. Tokunaga, T. Ohkuma, R. Noyori, Tetrahedron Lett. 32 (1991) 4163.
- [6] J.P. Genet, et al., Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 5 (1994) 675.
- [7] V.A. Pavlov, E.V. Starodubtseva, M.G. Vinogradov, V.A. Ferapontov, O.R. Malyshev, G.L. Heise, Russ. Chem. Bull. 49 (2000) 728.
- [8] M. Yamagishi, M. Ueda, Y. Takai, M. Yasuda, T. Mikawa, Eur. Pat. Appl. 737751 (1996) 11.
- [9] A. Matsuyama, A. Tomita, Y. Kobayashi, Eur. Pat. Appl. 606899 (1994) 10.
- [10] K. Nakamura, T. Matsuda, J. Org. Chem. 63 (1998) 8957.
- [11] K. Nakamura, Y. Kawai, A. Ohno, Tetrahedron Lett. 31 (1990) 267.
- [12] K. Nakamura, Y. Kawai, S. Oka, A. Ohno, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 62 (1989) 875.
- [13] R. Hayakawa, K. Nozawa, M. Shimizu, T. Fujisawa, Tetrahedron Lett. 39 (1998) 667.
- <span id="page-3-0"></span>[14] A.C. Dahl, M. Fjeldberg, J.Ø. Madsen, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 10 (1999) 551.
- [15] T. Matsuda, T. Harada, N. Nakajima, T. Itoh, K. Nakamura, J. Org. Chem. 65 (2000) 157.
- [16] T. Matsuda, T. Harada, N. Nakajima, K. Nakamura, Tetrahedron Lett. 41 (2000) 4135.
- [17] R.N. Patel, C.G. McNamee, A. Banerjee, J.M. Howell, R.S. Robison, L.J. Szarka, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 14 (1992) 731.
- [18] E. Sundby, M.M. Andersen, B.H. Hoff, T. Anthonsen, ARKIVOC (2002) 6.